

Theoretical Evolution of Dynamic Pricing in E-Commerce: from Cost-Plus to Data-Driven Paradigm Shift

Wenyushan Yu

Department of Marketing, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, 611130, China

1400669884@qq.com

ABSTRACT

There is a fundamental theoretical evolution of dynamic pricing during the age of e-commerce, whereby traditional cost-plus methods are replaced by flexible, data-informed approaches that react to changes in market dynamics. This study will describe the history of dynamic pricing theory by highlighting the evolution of its theories through three main phases: those rooted in the cost-plus and marginalist theories in the early neoclassical era, followed by the behavioral and game-theory extensions in the late twentieth century and, finally, the machine learning revolution of our times. Using a synthesis approach of insights from various disciplines of economics, marketing science, computer science, and behavioral psychology, we develop a framework that reconciles algorithmic optimization with consumer welfare considerations. From this study, we find that while today's paradigm of data-driven dynamic pricing leads to tremendous efficiency gains and maximized revenues, it also presents new dilemmas such as algorithmic collusion, price discrimination issues, and consumer perception of unfairness. We argue that future dynamic pricing theory should not only involve technical optimization but also consider other aspects like governance, regulation, and ethics. This study provides an integrated historical overview of the development of dynamic pricing ideas, the persisting trade-off between efficiency and equity, and an integrative research agenda for next generation of pricing theory.

Keywords: Dynamic Pricing; Data-Driven Strategy; Algorithmic Pricing; Consumer Welfare; Price Discrimination; E-Commerce

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of price adjustment according to market dynamics can be traced back to the very origins of trade itself. However, the digital revolution in retail has fundamentally transformed the nature and scale of price adjustment mechanisms. In the modern e-commerce setting, the price for identical products can be changed several times during the day—in some cases, even minutes apart—as a function of complex algorithms processing large amounts of data about demand signals, competitors' moves, stock, and customers' personal histories.

Such transformation is not only technological but a fundamental paradigm shift in terms of the theory of pricing. Classical pricing theory, based on neoclassical economics and industrial organization, considered price as a relatively stable signal equalizing supply and demand, with adjustments mainly driven by cost variations and shifts in the general market structure. Optimal price was defined as a point estimate that could be obtained through marginal costs and demand elasticity calculation—this was done occasionally, usually at certain time intervals^[1].

The price in the new data-driven paradigm is an adaptive variable constantly optimized using the data. Thus, the theoretical object of the analysis moves from point estimation to the problem of forecasting the distribution of prices, from equilibrium to control, and from optimization at the firm level to strategic interaction in multi-agent environment.

This paper presents a review of the intellectual development of dynamic pricing theory, with particular emphasis on three paradigmatic periods: (1) the origins of price theory based on cost-plus and marginalist approaches; (2) behavioral and game-theoretical extensions that introduced the notions of strategic interdependence and bounded rationality; and (3) data-driven algorithmic revolution, allowing for personalization and experimentation. We draw on various disciplines such as economics, marketing, computer sciences, and behavioral psychology to formulate an integrative framework showing theoretical continuity and rupture among these periods.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we present the classical theories of pricing from economics literature. In section 3, we review behavioral and strategic extensions. Section 4 describes modern data-driven paradigm

and technologies behind it. Section 5 deals with welfare consequences, challenges, and issues of governance. Section 6 outlines an integrative framework and research agenda.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

2.1 Classical Roots and the Cost-Plus Tradition

The earliest systematic theories of price took cost as the fundamental determinant. In classical political economy, Adam Smith's "natural price" represented a long-run equilibrium around which market prices fluctuate, determined by the cost of production—wages, profits, and rent. This cost-based view persisted through the nineteenth century, reinforced by the practical needs of manufacturing and retail. Cost-plus pricing—setting price as unit cost plus a standard markup—remained the dominant practice well into the twentieth century, particularly in industries with stable demand and limited competition.

The theoretical justification for cost-plus pricing rested on several assumptions. First, costs were assumed to be relatively stable and observable, making price calculation straightforward. Second, demand was considered fairly inelastic or predictable, reducing the need for frequent adjustments. Third, firms operated in environments with limited information about competitors and consumers, making reactive price changes costly and risky. Under these conditions, cost-plus provided a decision rule that was simple to implement, reasonably profitable, and defensible to stakeholders.

2.2 Neoclassical Marginalism and the Elasticity Framework

The marginalist revolution of the late nineteenth century transformed price theory by shifting analytical focus from costs as a production phenomenon to the interaction between marginal cost and marginal revenue. Alfred Marshall's synthesis established that profit-maximizing price occurs where marginal revenue equals marginal cost, with the optimal markup inversely proportional to the price elasticity of demand^[2]. This framework, while static in its canonical form, provided the conceptual basis for demand-based pricing and revealed that cost is only one side of the pricing equation.

The elasticity approach introduced a crucial insight: price should reflect consumers' sensitivity to price changes, which varies across products, market segments, and over time. This insight anticipated the logic of price discrimination—charging different prices to different consumer groups based on their willingness to pay—which became a central theme in later dynamic pricing theory. However, the neoclassical framework remained fundamentally static: price was conceptualized as an equilibrium variable, not a dynamic decision variable that could be continuously adapted to new information. There was little room for real-time adjustment, individual-level heterogeneity, or strategic interaction beyond simple oligopoly models.

3. BEHAVIORAL AND STRATEGIC EXPANSIONS

3.1 Price Discrimination and Market Segmentation

The theoretical foundations for modern dynamic pricing were substantially advanced by the literature on price discrimination, which demonstrated that firms could increase profits by tailoring prices to different consumer segments. Building on Pigou's original classification, scholars developed increasingly nuanced models of screening, self-selection, and nonlinear pricing^[3]. The fundamental insight—that heterogeneous consumer preferences create opportunities for segment-specific pricing—directly informs contemporary personalization algorithms.

However, traditional price discrimination assumed that firms could reliably identify consumer types through observable characteristics or through mechanisms like quantity discounts. This assumption proved problematic in practice, as consumer preferences are multidimensional, context-dependent, and subject to change. The data-driven paradigm addresses this limitation by using revealed preference signals (e.g., browsing history, previous purchases) to infer willingness to pay with greater accuracy and dynamism.

3.2 Behavioral Economics and Consumer Psychology

Behavioral economics introduced systematic departures from the rational consumer model, with profound implications for dynamic pricing theory. Research on reference dependence, loss aversion, and framing effects demonstrated that price perceptions are not objective evaluations but psychologically constructed judgments. Consumers evaluate a price relative to internal and external reference points—previous prices, competitor prices, and expected prices—rather than in absolute terms.

This insight has important implications: dynamic pricing algorithms must consider not only economic fundamentals but also psychological responses. Price increases that seem economically justified by demand surges may trigger negative fairness perceptions if consumers perceive them as exploitative. Adaptive pricing strategies that fail to account for these behavioral responses may generate short-term revenue gains at the cost of long-term trust and loyalty. The challenge is to design dynamic pricing that is algorithmically sophisticated and behaviorally informed.

3.3 Game Theory and Strategic Interaction

Game theory extended price theory from monopoly or atomistic competition to strategic interaction among a small number of firms. The analysis of pricing games revealed that strategic considerations—such as signaling, deterrence, and collusion—fundamentally shape pricing behavior. In repeated interactions, firms face trade-offs between immediate profits and long-term cooperation, with pricing strategies serving as credible commitments or threats.

These insights are particularly relevant in the data-driven era because algorithmic pricing creates new forms of strategic interdependence. If algorithms are trained on competitor price data and respond rapidly to changes, the dynamics of price competition can shift in unexpected ways. The theoretical challenge is to extend game-theoretic analysis to environments where algorithms, rather than human managers, make pricing decisions, raising the possibility of algorithmic collusion through tacit coordination.

4. THE DATA-DRIVEN PARADIGM

4.1 Technological Enablers and Architectural Foundations

The transition to the data-driven paradigm has been enabled by three interrelated technological developments: the proliferation of consumer data, advances in machine learning, and the infrastructure for high-frequency experimentation. Firms now collect granular data on consumer behavior—browsing patterns, click-through rates, purchase histories, cart abandonment, and even mouse movements—that provides rich signals for predicting willingness to pay. This data infrastructure enables dynamic pricing systems to model heterogeneity at the individual level rather than at the segment level^[4].

Machine learning techniques, particularly reinforcement learning and neural networks, have substantially advanced the predictive capability of pricing algorithms. Firms can now model complex, non-linear relationships between pricing decisions and outcomes, incorporate contextual factors, and adapt to changing consumer responses over time. A/B testing and randomized experimentation have become standard tools for refining pricing strategies, creating a feedback loop between pricing decisions and data collection.

4.2 Theoretical Innovations: From Point Estimation to Distributional Forecasting

The data-driven paradigm represents a theoretical shift from point estimation to forecasting the distribution of consumer responses. Algorithms are calibrated not to calculate the optimal single price but to predict the probability distribution of purchase behavior at different price levels and adjust to new information as it becomes available. This shift has methodological implications: dynamic pricing becomes a sequential decision problem where algorithms continuously refine forecasts and update prices.

The shift also introduces the concept of price as a policy function rather than a fixed strategy. Pricing becomes an adaptive process where decisions are adjusted based on real-time performance and evolving conditions. This perspective draws on control theory and reinforcement learning, where the pricing problem is formulated as a Markov decision process.

4.3 Social Learning Theory: Absence of a Male Role Model

Personalization represents the frontier of dynamic pricing practice, where algorithms tailor prices to individual consumers based on inferred willingness to pay. While personalization is economically efficient—allocating products to those who value them most—it raises distributional concerns. Consumers who are predicted to have lower willingness to pay receive different prices than those with higher willingness, raising questions about fairness and transparency^[5].

The welfare implications of personalized pricing are complex. On the one hand, it can improve access for budget-constrained consumers who otherwise could not afford the product. On the other hand, it can transfer surplus from consumers to firms, particularly when market power is concentrated. Regulators are increasingly attentive to these trade-offs, with several jurisdictions considering restrictions on algorithmic price personalization.

5. CHALLENGES, TRADE-OFFS, AND GOVERNANCE

5.1 Algorithmic Collusion and Tacit Coordination

One of the most concerning implications of algorithmic pricing is the potential for tacit collusion. When multiple firms deploy similar pricing algorithms trained on similar data, they may converge on supra-competitive price equilibria without explicit communication. This creates a challenge for antitrust enforcement: how to distinguish between legitimate parallel conduct and prohibited collusion.

The theoretical literature on algorithmic collusion is still developing, with open questions about which algorithmic architectures are prone to collusion, what market conditions facilitate coordination, and how to design effective safeguards. The governance challenge is to balance the efficiency benefits of algorithmic pricing against the risk of consumer harm.

5.2 Consumer Fairness and Trust

Consumer perceptions of price fairness are a critical constraint on dynamic pricing. Behavioral research has consistently shown that consumers are averse to prices they perceive as arbitrary, exploitative, or discriminatory^[6]. Dynamic pricing algorithms that produce large price swings or personalized offers may trigger negative responses, reducing trust and loyalty.

The challenge for firms is to design pricing algorithms that are economically effective and perceived as fair. This may require incorporating fairness constraints into algorithmic design, ensuring transparency in pricing policies, and offering consumers mechanisms to appeal or contest price decisions. The governance of dynamic pricing must therefore encompass not only economic efficiency but also ethical and reputational considerations.

5.3 Regulatory Responses and Institutional Governance

Governments have begun to respond to the challenges of algorithmic pricing with new regulatory frameworks. The European Union's Digital Services Act and proposed AI regulations address transparency and accountability in algorithmic decision-making. The United States has seen increased scrutiny of pricing algorithms by the Federal Trade Commission and Department of Justice.

However, regulatory responses must be carefully calibrated to avoid stifling innovation while addressing legitimate concerns. The theoretical challenge is to design governance frameworks that preserve the efficiency benefits of dynamic pricing while mitigating its risks. This requires interdisciplinary collaboration between economists, legal scholars, computer scientists, and policymakers^[7].

6. CONCLUSION

This study has traced the theoretical evolution of dynamic pricing from its cost-plus foundations, through behavioral and strategic expansions, to the contemporary data-driven paradigm. We identified three distinct phases, each with its own conceptual foundations, methodological tools, and assumptions about markets and consumer behavior.

Several enduring tensions persist across all three phases: the tension between efficiency and equity, between predictive power and interpretability, and between firm optimization and consumer welfare. These tensions are not resolved by the data-driven paradigm but are amplified by its technological capabilities. Algorithms create new possibilities for efficiency and new sources of risk.

We propose that the next generation of dynamic pricing theory must integrate three dimensions: (1) technical optimization—enhancing the precision and adaptability of pricing algorithms; (2) behavioral realism—incorporating consumer psychology, fairness perceptions, and trust dynamics into algorithmic design; and (3) institutional governance—embedding pricing decisions within legal, ethical, and regulatory frameworks that ensure accountability and protect consumer welfare.

The future of dynamic pricing research lies at the intersection of these dimensions, demanding interdisciplinary collaboration and empirical rigor. As e-commerce continues to expand and algorithms become more sophisticated, the theoretical foundations we build today will shape the markets of tomorrow.

REFERENCE

- [1] Chan, J. H., & Li, S. Algorithmic pricing and fairness: A moderated moderation model of AI disclosure and typicality of AI pricing. PACIS, 2023, Proceedings, 1–17.
- [2] Chen, X., Xu, Z., Zhao, Z., & Zhou, Y. Personalized pricing with group fairness constraint. Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAccT '23), 2023, 1–11.
- [3] Dörr, L. Is algorithmic personalized pricing unjust? IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society, 2022, 1–7.
- [4] Hua, J., Yan, L., Xu, H., & Yang, C. Markdowns in e-commerce fresh retail: A counterfactual prediction and multi-period optimization approach. Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD '21), 2021, 1–11.
- [5] Misra, A. Dynamic pricing under nested logit demand. arXiv, 2021, 04486.
- [6] Salinger, M. Dynamic pricing algorithms, consumer harm, and regulatory response. Washington University Law Review, 2022, 100 (1), 1–58.
- [7] Niemeier, C., & Pospisil, R. The effects of user tracking and behavioral management on online prices: A theoretical approach. European Research Studies Journal, XXIV (3-Part 2), 2021, 386–398.